

CIL Community Match Fund Bid Evaluation Sheet

This should be completed by the Bid Evaluation group for each proposal received from a town and parish council. The questions in italics are designed as prompts and are not intended to be exhaustive. A short narrative should be provided to detail the rationale of each score. The differential scoring for each section reflects the relative weighting of each criterion.

Strategic Fit & Need (20%)

Alignment with the One Teignbridge council strategy and the Local Plan; evidence of need and strategic priority.

Does the project support development by addressing the demands that development places on the area? How well does it align with Local Plan and council priorities? Is there strong evidence of demand?

Community Benefit & Inclusion (20%)

Measurable outcomes: benefits underserved groups; accessibility and inclusive engagement.

What measurable benefits will the project deliver? Does it improve access for underserved groups? Has community engagement been demonstrated?

Deliverability & Resource Capacity (15%)

Consents status; procurement route; realistic milestones and dependencies for delivery within a clearly defined timeframe.

Are consents in place or achievable? Is the timeline clear and realistic? Has a procurement route and delivery partners been identified? Does the Town or Parish Council have capacity to manage this project without TDC support?

Value for Money & Match (15%)

Strength of match funding and leverage; cost competitiveness; robust budget and contingency.

Is match funding secured or credible? Could the project proceed without TDC funding? Are costs reasonable and supported by a robust budget?

Sustainability & Legacy (10%)

Whole-life costs and maintenance; net-zero alignment; resilience and long-term viability.

Have ongoing costs, such as maintenance or upkeep been considered? How does it contribute to net-zero objectives? Will benefits be sustained long-term?

Risk & Governance (10%)

Analysis of project risks; governance clarity; roles, accountability, compliance.

Have project delivery risks been considered with mitigations provided? Is governance clear with defined roles?

Infrastructure Plan Status (5%)

Presence of an approved Infrastructure Plan with prioritised schemes and sound evidence base.

Does the Town/Parish have an approved Infrastructure Plan? Is the project identified as a priority within that plan?